

# DD2552 Seminar 10: Purely functional data structures, I

Karl Palmskog

KTH

Thursday September 28, 2023

## Course material

- “Purely functional data structures”, book by Chris Okasaki (not at KTHB)
- see freely available PhD thesis,  
<https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~rwh/students/okasaki.pdf>

## Advantages of purely functional data

- ease of reasoning (functional correctness)
- no mutation, “old” data continues to exist (if referenced)
- in general, fewer lines of code

## Performance analysis

- focus on ML family of languages, asymptotic behavior
- assume eager evaluation
- we view datatypes as implemented via pointers
  - lists are similar to linked lists
  - cons takes  $O(1)$  time
  - append (++) takes  $O(n)$  time
- more formally, need a **cost semantics**
  - outside scope of course

## Heap interface

```
signature HEAP = sig
  structure Elem : ORDERED
  type Heap
  val empty : Heap
  val isEmpty : Heap -> bool
  val insert : Elem.T * Heap -> Heap
  val merge : Heap * Heap -> Heap
  val findMin : Heap -> Elem.T
  val deleteMin : Heap -> Heap
end
```

## Leftist Heaps

- invented by Donald Knuth in 1970s
- heap-ordered binary trees
- satisfy the leftist property: the rank of any left child is at least as large as the rank of its right sibling
- rank of node is the length of its right spine
  - rightmost path from the node in question to an empty node

## SML implementation

```
functor LeftistHeap (Element : ORDERED) : HEAP =
  structure Elem = Element
  datatype Heap = E | T of int * Elem.T * Heap * Heap

  fun rank E = 0
    | rank T (r, _, _, _) = r
  fun makeT (x, a, b) =
    if rank a >= rank b then T (rank b + 1, x, a, b)
    else T (rank a + 1, x, b, a)

  val empty = E
  fun isEmpty E = true | isEmpty _ = false

  (* ... *)
end
```

## SML implementation, continued

*“two [leftist] heaps can be merged by merging their right spines as you would merge two sorted lists, and then swapping the children of nodes along this path as necessary to restore the leftist property” -Okasaki*

```
fun merge (h, E) = h
  | merge (E, h) = h
  | merge (h1 as T(_, x, a1, b1), h2 as T(_, y, a2, b2)) =
    if Elem.leq (x, y) then makeT (x, a1, merge (b1, h2))
    else makeT (y, a2, merge (h1, b2))
fun insert (x, h) = merge (T (1, x, E, E), h)

fun findMin E = raise EMPTY
  | findMin T (_, x, _, _) = x
fun deleteMin E = raise EMPTY
  | deleteMin T (_, _, a, b) = merge (a, b)
```



## Performance analysis

- length of each right spine is at most logarithmic, so merge runs in  $O(\log n)$
- so, insert and deleteMin run in  $O(\log n)$
- findMin and isEmpty run in  $O(1)$

# Binomial heaps

- constructed from binomial trees
  - binomial tree of rank 0 is a singleton node
  - binomial tree of rank  $r + 1$  constructed by linking two binomial trees of rank  $r$ , making one tree the leftmost child of the other
- binomial heaps are lists of binomial trees where no trees have the same rank
- can be “faster” than leftist heaps

## SML implementation

```
functor BinomialHeap (Element : ORDERED) : HEAP =  
struct  
  structure Elem = Element  
  datatype Tree = Node of int * Elem.T * Tree list  
  type Heap = Tree list  
  fun rank (Node (r, x, c)) = r  
  fun root (Node (r, x, c)) = x  
  (* ... *)  
end
```

## SML implementation, continued

```
fun link (t1 as Node(r, x1, c1), t2 as Node(_, x2, c2)) =  
  if Elem.leq (x1, x2) then Node (r + 1, x1, t2::c1)  
  else Node (r + 1, x2, t1::c2)
```

```
fun insTree (t, []) = [t]  
  | insTree (t, ts as t'::ts') =  
    if rank t < rank t' then t::ts  
    else insTree (link (t t'), ts')
```

## SML implementation, continued

```
fun insert (x, ts) = insTree (Node (0, x, []), ts)
```

```
fun merge (ts1, []) = ts1
  | merge ([], ts2) = ts2
  | merge (ts1 as t1::ts1', ts2 as t2::ts2') =
  if rank t1 < rank t2 then t1::merge (ts1', ts2)
  else if rank t2 < rank t1 then t2::merge (ts2', ts1)
  else insTree (link (t1, t2), merge (ts1', ts2'))
```

## SML implementation, continued

```
fun removeMinTree [] = raise EMPTY
  | removeMinTree [t] = (t, [])
  | removeMinTree (t::ts) =
    let val (t', ts') = removeMinTree ts in
    if Elem.leq (root t, root t') then (t, ts)
    else (t', t::ts') end

fun findMin ts =
  let val (t, _) = removeMinTree ts in root t end

fun deleteMin ts =
  let val (Node (_, x, ts1), ts2) = removeMinTree ts
  in merge (rev ts1, ts2) end
```

## Performance analysis

- worst case is insertion into a heap of size  $n = 2^k - 1$ ,  $O(\log n)$  time
- merge, findMin, deleteMin also  $O(\log n)$  time

## Queue interface

```
signature QUEUE = sig
  type 'a queue
  val empty : 'a queue
  val isEmpty : 'a queue -> bool
  val snoc : 'a queue * 'a -> 'a queue
  val head : 'a queue -> 'a
  val tail : 'a queue -> 'a queue
end
```



## Batched queues

- use a pair of lists

## CakeML implementation fragment

```
datatype 'a queue = Q ('a list) ('a list)
```

```
val empty = Q [] []
```

```
fun isEmpty q =  
  case q of Q [] xs => True | _ => False
```

```
fun checkf q = case q of Q [] xs =>  
  (Q (reverse xs) []) | _ => q
```

```
fun snoc q x = case q of  
  Q f r => (checkf (Q f (x::r)))
```

```
fun head q = case q of  
  Q (x::_) _ => x
```

```
fun tail q = case q of  
  Q (_::f) r => (checkf (Q f r))
```

## Performance analysis

- snoc and head in  $O(1)$  worst-case time
- tail takes  $O(n)$  worst-case time
- but tail runs in  $O(1)$  **amortized** time
  - every element in second list has 1 credit
  - every snoc takes one step and allocates credit to new element (cost 2)
  - every tail that doesn't reverse list takes one step (cost 1)
  - every tail that reverses list uses up all credits and takes a step (cost 1)
- is this implementation suitable for concurrency? Not really.
  - but “cannot be beat” otherwise

## Anecdote on performance analysis

- mutation analysis is about:
  - modifying a software system, creating a mutant
  - seeing if tests/verification “kills” mutant
- surviving mutants may indicate inadequate tests/verification
- a mutant of merge sort survived formal functional correctness proofs
- “missing” invariant: merging needs to be done on powers-of-two sized lists, otherwise get  $O(n^2)$  instead of  $O(n \log n)$  sorting time

## Pairing heaps

- heap-ordered multiway trees
- perform well in practice

## SML implementation

```
functor PairingHeap (Element : ORDERED) : HEAP =  
struct  
  structure Elem = Element  
  datatype Heap = E | T of Elem.T * Heap list  
  val empty = E  
  fun isEmpty E = true | isEmpty _ = false  
  (* ... *)  
end
```

## SML implementation, continued

```
fun merge (h, E) = h
  | merge (E, h) = h
  | merge (h1 as T (x, hs1), h2 as T (y, hs2)) =
  if Elem.leq (x, y) then T (x, h2::hs1)
  else T (y, h1::hs2)
```

```
fun insert (x, h) = merge (T (x, []), h)
```

```
fun mergePairs [] = E
  | mergePairs [h] = h
  | mergePairs (h1::h2::hs) =
  merge (merge (h1, h2), mergePairs hs)
```

## SML implementation, continued

```
fun findMin E = raise EMPTY
  | findMin (T (x, hs)) = x
```

```
fun deleteMin E = raise EMPTY
  | deleteMin (T (x, hs)) = mergePairs hs
```