

DD2552 Seminar 3: Simply Typed Lambda Calculus and Beyond

Karl Palmskog

KTH

Wednesday September 6, 2023

Course material

- PFPL chapter 4
- Commentary in Software Foundations
 - <https://softwarefoundations.cis.upenn.edu/plf-current/Stlc.html>
 - <https://softwarefoundations.cis.upenn.edu/plf-current/StlcProp.html>

Why types?

- lack of restrictions in formal systems can lead to contradictions (paradoxes)
- types can enforce enough discipline to rule out contradictions
- “set of all sets” vs. “class of all sets” vs. hierarchy of classes

Why Simply Typed Lambda Calculus?

- possibly simplest meaningful typesystem for lambda calculus (add function types)
- showcases why we have (several) types in functional languages
- stepping stone to practical languages like CakeML
- usually abbreviated STLC

What is STLC?

- lambda calculus with a typing relation
- a basis for metatheory (safety and progress)
- benchmark for formal metatheory

Lambda Calculus syntax

| | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| t | $::=$ | | term |
| | | x | variable |
| | | $\lambda x.t$ | bind x in t lambda |
| | | $t t'$ | app |
| | | (t) | S |
| | | $[t/x]t'$ | M |
| v | $::=$ | | value |
| | | $\lambda x.t$ | lambda |
| typ, T | $::=$ | | types |
| | | \circ | base type |
| | | $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ | function types |

Lambda Calculus reduction reminder

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow [v_2/x]t_{12}} \quad \text{RED_AX_APP}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t \longrightarrow t'_1 t} \quad \text{RED_CTX_APP_FUN}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{v t_1 \longrightarrow v t'_1} \quad \text{RED_CTX_APP_ARG}$$

STLC typing relation

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ TYPING_VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ TYPING_ABS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_2} \text{ TYPING_APP}$$

STLC property: progress

Pierce et al.:

“closed, well-typed terms are not stuck: either a well-typed term is a value, or it can take a reduction step.”

Theorem

For all terms t and types T , if $\bullet \vdash t : T$, then t is a value or there exists t' such that $t \longrightarrow t'$.

STLC property: preservation

Pierce et al.:

if a closed, well-typed term t has type T and takes a step to t' , then t' is also a closed term with type T . In other words, the small-step reduction relation preserves types.

Theorem

For all terms t, t' and types T , if $\bullet \vdash t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $\bullet \vdash t' : T$.

Instantiating STLC with booleans

| | | | |
|----------|-------|---|------------------------|
| t | $::=$ | | term |
| | | x | variable |
| | | $\lambda x.t$ | bind x in t lambda |
| | | $t t'$ | app |
| | | if t then t' else t'' | conditional |
| | | true | true |
| | | false | false |
| | | (t) | S |
| | | $[t/x]t'$ | M |
| v | $::=$ | | value |
| | | $\lambda x.t$ | lambda |
| typ, T | $::=$ | | types |
| | | Bool | bool type |
| | | $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ | function types |

Instantiating STLC with booleans

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow [v_2/x]t_{12}} \text{RED_AX_APP}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t \longrightarrow t'_1 t} \text{RED_CTX_APP_FUN}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{v t_1 \longrightarrow v t'_1} \text{RED_CTX_APP_ARG}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if true then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2 \longrightarrow t_1} \text{RED_IF_TRUE}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if false then } t_1 \text{ else } t_2 \longrightarrow t_2} \text{RED_IF_FALSE}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \text{RED_IF}$$

Instantiating STLC with booleans

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ TYPING_VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \text{ TYPING_ABS}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_2} \text{ TYPING_APP}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ TYPING_TRUE}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ TYPING_FALSE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T_1} \text{ TYPING_IF}$$

Towards a more realistic language

- convenient to *annotate* types in programs
- we also need a **datatype definition** mechanism so preservation/progress proofs do not need to change with every new kind of data
- examples: OCaml Light, CakeML