This document is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0) license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

This document is based on material from the "Interactive Theorem Proving Course" by Thomas Tuerk (https://www.thomas-tuerk.de): https://github.com/thtuerk/ITP-course

This document includes additions by:

- Pablo Buiras (https://people.kth.se/~buiras/)
- Arve Gengelbach (https://people.kth.se/~arveg/)
- Karl Palmskog (https://setoid.com)

## Part XVIII

# **Obtaining Verified Programs**



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

臣



Many options available:

- using code extraction (to SML, OCaml, Haskell, ...)
- reasoning directly about deeply embedded "real" programs using their semantics
- validating compiled binaries
- using a verified compiler

• ...

## Trusted Computing Bases (TCB)



- what is verified vs. what is trusted?
- TCB originally from security (and adversarial)
- verification TCB typically includes at least
  - hardware (processor, ISA, ...)
  - operating system
  - low-level system libraries
- small TCB is (nearly) always preferable

#### Example: Verified Distributed System







- Standard ML extraction in HOL4 (EmitML module)
- OCaml and Haskell in Coq
- Standard ML, Scala, Haskell in Isabelle/HOL



```
open EmitML basis_emitTheory;
val _ = eSML "my_theory" [
   DATATYPE mydata,
   DEFN myfun1_def,
   DEFN myfun2_def,
   DEFN myfun3_def
];
```



- extraction is not guaranteed (via machine-checked proofs) to preserve program semantics
- a translation validation approach can establish that generated binary adheres to source language semantics
- used to analyze binaries generated by gcc for the seL4 operating system kernel
- general approach that can be used for other tasks than compilation



- verified compilers can directly produce machine code that is guaranteed be consistent with program meaning
- needed: hardware ISA semantics, source language semantics
- usually constructed as translations between many intermediate languages
- examples: CakeML, CompCert

### Verified Compilation Top-Level Theorem



Any binary produced by a successful evaluation of the compiler function will either

- behave exactly according to the observable behaviour of the source semantics, or
- behave the same as the source up to some point at which it terminates with an out-of-memory error.

Typical assumptions:

- external world doesn't modify allocated memory
- external procedures called by program are well-behaved



- purely functional code usually verified by rewriting (lightweight)
- imperative code usually needs Hoare logic verification (heavyweight)
- reasoning about heaps is a lot of work (even with separation logic)
- conjecture (X. Leroy): purely functional programs are the most straightforward path to verified code

## Part XIX

## Introduction to CakeML



・ロト ・御ト ・モト ・モト

12



- bootstrapping verified compiler for SML-like language, implemented in HOL4
- can generate machine code for MIPS, x86, x86-64, ARMv8, RISC-V
- source and pre-compiled CakeML compatible with HOL4 Kananaskis-14 available online:

https://github.com/CakeML/cakeml/releases/download/v2117/cake-x64-64.tar.gz https://github.com/CakeML/cakeml/archive/v2117.tar.gz

#### Properties of the CakeML Language



- impure language in the SML family
- eagerly evaluated
- semantics given in functional big-step style
- supports IO and FFI
- all integers are unbounded

#### Syntax of CakeML vs. Standard ML



- CakeML has curried Haskell-style constructor syntax
- constructors in CakeML must begin with an uppercase letter
- constructors must be fully applied
- alpha-numeric variable and function names begin with a lowercase letter
- CakeML lacks SML's records, functors, open and (at present) signatures
- CakeML capitalises True, False and Ref

#### Semantics of CakeML vs. Standard ML



- right-to-left evaluation order
- CakeML has no equality types
- semantics of equality is different from SML and OCaml
- multi-argument functions

#### Example CakeML Programs



Hello World:

```
print "Hello world!\n";
```

Fibonacci with argument from CLI:

#### CakeML List Functions



```
fun foldl f e xs =
  case xs of [] => e
  | (x::xs) => foldl f (f e x) xs;
fun reverse xs =
 let.
    fun append xs ys =
      case xs of [] => ys
      | (x::xs) => x :: append xs ys;
    fun rev xs =
      case xs of [] => xs
      | (x::xs) => append (rev xs) [x]
  in
    rev xs
  end:
```



Download the pre-compiled CakeML, and put "hello world" program in hello.cml:

\$ make hello.cake
\$ ./hello.cake
Hello world!

Compiler takes 20+ hours to bootstrap in HOL4!

### Verifying Programs Using CakeML



- imperative programs handled via monads in HOL4
- proof-producing synthesis in HOL4 via translator
- post-hoc verification using separation logic

See CakeML journal paper for overview: https://cakeml.org/jfp19.pdf